

Weighted Endpoint Estimates for Multilinear Commutators of Marcinkiewicz Integrals

Jianglong WU¹ Qingguo LIU²

(1 College of Science, Mudanjiang Normal University, Mudanjiang, 157012, China)

(2 Laboratory for Multiphase Processes, University of Nova Gorica, Nova Gorica, SI-5000 , Slovenia)

Abstract: Let $\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}$ be the multilinear commutator generalized by μ_Ω , the n -dimensional Marcinkiewicz integral, with $\text{Osc}_{\exp L^\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions for $\tau \geq 1$, where $\text{Osc}_{\exp L^\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a space of Orlicz type satisfying that $\text{Osc}_{\exp L^\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $\tau = 1$ and $\text{Osc}_{\exp L^\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $\tau > 1$. The authors establish the weighted weak $L \log L$ -type estimates for $\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}$ when Ω satisfies a kind of Dini conditions.

Keywords: Marcinkiewicz integral; multilinear commutator; A_p weight; Orlicz space

MR(2000) Subject Classification: 42B20; 42B25; 42B99

1 Introduction and Main Result

Denote by S^{n-1} the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) equipped with the normalized Lebesgue measure $dx' = d\sigma(x')$. Let $\Omega(x) \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ be homogeneous function of degree zero in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') dx' = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $x' = x/|x|$ ($x \neq 0$).

The n -dimensional Marcinkiewicz integral introduced by Stein ^[1] is defined by

$$\mu_\Omega(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

A weight will always means a positive locally integrable function. As usual, we denote by A_p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the Muckenhoupt weights classes (see [2] and [3] for details). For a weight ω on \mathbb{R}^n , we write $\|f\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx)^{1/p}$ and $\omega(E) = \int_E \omega(x) dx$.

In 2004, Ding, Lu and Zhang ^[4] studied the weighted weak $L \log L$ -type estimates for the commutators of the Marcinkiewicz integral, which is defined by

$$\mu_{\Omega, b}^m(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{(b(x) - b(y))^m \Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

when the kernel Ω satisfies the Lip_α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) condition, that is, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\Omega(x') - \Omega(y')| \leq C|x' - y'|^\alpha, \quad \forall x', y' \in S^{n-1}. \quad (1.2)$$

In 2008, Zhang ^[5] established the weighted weak $L(\log L)^{1/r}$ -type estimates for the multilinear commutators of the Marcinkiewicz integral when $\omega \in A_1$, and Ω satisfies (1.1) and (1.2).

Let $\Omega \in L^r(S^{n-1})$ ($r \geq 1$), the integral modulus of continuity of order r of Ω is defined by

$$\omega_r(\delta) = \sup_{|\rho| < \delta} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(\rho x') - \Omega(x')|^r dx' \right)^{1/r},$$

where ρ is a rotation in \mathbb{R}^n with $|\rho| = \sup_{x' \in S^{n-1}} |\rho x' - x'|$.

We say $\Omega \in L^r(S^{n-1})$ ($r \geq 1$) satisfies the L^r -Dini condition if $\int_0^1 \omega_r(\delta) \delta^{-1} d\delta < \infty$.

Recently, Zhang^[6] also considered the following result.

Theorem A. ^[6] Let $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Omega \in L^r(S^{n-1})$ for some $r > 1$, and $\omega^{r'} \in A_1$. If Ω satisfies (1.1) and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta} \left(\log \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^m d\delta < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

then for all $\lambda > 0$, there has

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mu_{\Omega,b}^m(f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right)^m \omega(y) dy,$$

where C is a positive constant independent of f and λ .

In this paper, by applying the calderón-Zygmund decomposition theory, we will study the weighted weak $L \log L$ -type estimates for the multilinear commutators generated by μ_Ω and $\text{Osc}_{\exp L^r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions, in analogy with the results established by Pérez and Trujillo-González in [7] for the multilinear commutators of Calderón-Zygmund operators. Before stating our results, we first recall some notation.

Let m be a positive integer and $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$, we define the multilinear commutators $\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}$ by

$$\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^m (b_j(x) - b_j(y)) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is easy to see, when $m = 1$, $\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}$ is the commutator of Marcinkiewicz integral and when $b_1 = \dots = b_m$, $\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}$ is the higher commutator of Marcinkiewicz integral.

To state the weak type estimate for the multilinear commutator $\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}$, we need to introduce the following notation. As in [7], given any positive integer m , for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, we denote by \mathcal{C}_j^m the family of all finite subsets $\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(j)\}$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with j different elements. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m$, we define the complementary sequence $\sigma' = \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \sigma$.

In the following, we will always assume that Ω be homogeneous function of degree 0, and let $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$ be a finite family of locally integrable functions. For all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(j)\} \in \mathcal{C}_j^m$, we write for any i -tuple $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m)$ with $\tau_j \geq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, $1/\tau_\sigma = 1/\tau_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + 1/\tau_{\sigma(j)}$ and $1/\tau_{\sigma'} = 1/\tau - 1/\tau_\sigma$, where $1/\tau = 1/\tau_1 + \dots + 1/\tau_m$, we will denote $\vec{b}_\sigma = (b_{\sigma(1)}, b_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(j)})$ and the product $b_\sigma = b_{\sigma(1)} b_{\sigma(2)} \cdots b_{\sigma(j)}$. With this notation, we write

$$\|\vec{b}_\sigma\|_{\text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|b_{\sigma(1)}\|_{\text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_{\sigma(1)}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \cdots \|b_{\sigma(j)}\|_{\text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_{\sigma(j)}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In particular, we write

$$(b(x) - b(y))_\sigma = (b_{\sigma(1)}(x) - b_{\sigma(1)}(y)) \cdots (b_{\sigma(j)}(x) - b_{\sigma(j)}(y)),$$

and

$$(b_B - b(y))_\sigma = ((b_{\sigma(1)})_B - b_{\sigma(1)}(y)) \cdots ((b_{\sigma(j)})_B - b_{\sigma(j)}(y)),$$

where B is any ball in \mathbb{R}^n , $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $f_B = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(y) dy$. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m$, we set

$$\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}_\sigma}(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^j (b_{\sigma(i)}(x) - b_{\sigma(i)}(y)) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

If $\sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, then σ' is an empty set, we understand $\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}_\sigma} = \mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}$ and $\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}_{\sigma'}} = \mu_\Omega$.

Our result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $b_j \in \text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_j}}, \tau_j \geq 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), $\Omega \in L^r(S^{n-1})$ for some $r > 1$, and $\omega^{r'} \in A_1$. If Ω satisfies (1.1) and (1.3), then for all $\lambda > 0$, there has

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}(f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right)^m \omega(y) dy,$$

where C is a positive constant independent of f and λ .

Remark 1. Noting that $\text{Osc}_{\exp L^1} = \text{BMO}$ and $\text{Osc}_{\exp L^\tau} \subset \text{BMO}$ for $\tau > 1$. For more information on Orlicz space see [10].

Obviously, condition (1.3) is slightly stronger than the L^r -Dini condition, but much more weaker than the Lip_α condition. Noting that $\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}$ coincides with $\mu_{\Omega, b}^m$ when $b_j = b$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. So, Theorem 1.1 improves the main results in [5] and [6].

Throughout this paper, C denotes a constant that is independent of the main parameters involved but whose value may differ from line to line. For any index $p \in [1, \infty]$, we denote by p' its conjugate index, namely, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. For $A \sim B$, we mean that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1}B \leq A \leq CB$.

2 Preliminaries and Lemmas

In this section, we will formulate some lemmas and preliminaries.

Lemma 2.1. ^[8] Suppose that $0 < \alpha < n, r > 1$ and Ω satisfies the L^r -Dini condition. If there is a constant C_0 with $0 < C_0 < 1/2$ such that $|y| < C_0 K$, then

$$\left(\int_{K < |x| < 2K} \left| \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} \right|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq CK^{n/r-n+\alpha} \left(\frac{|y|}{K} + \int_{|y|/(2K) < \delta < |y|/K} \frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta \right).$$

Lemma 2.2. ^[9] Suppose $\Omega \in L^r(S^{n-1})$ for some $r > 1$ and $\omega^{r'} \in A_1$. Then for any $\lambda > 0$, there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of f and λ , such that

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mu_\Omega(f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $\omega \in A_1, 1 < p < \infty, b_j \in \text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_j}}, \tau_j \geq 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), $\Omega \in L^r(S^{n-1})$ for some $r > 1$ and satisfies (1.1) and (1.3). Then, there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of f , such that

$$\|\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}(f)\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\vec{b}\|_{\text{Osc}_{\exp L^\tau}} \|f\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The idea of the proof of Lemma 2.3 comes from the corollary 1.3 in [5]. We omit the details.

We also need a few facts of Orlicz spaces, see [10] for more information.

A function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is called a Young function if φ is continuous, convex and increasing with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. We defined the φ -average of a function f over a ball B by means of the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_{\varphi, B} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \varphi\left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right) dy \leq 1 \right\},$$

which satisfies the following inequalities (see [10], P.69 or formula (7) in [11])

$$\|f\|_{\varphi, B} \leq \inf \left\{ \eta + \frac{\eta}{|B|} \int_B \varphi\left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\eta}\right) dy \leq 1 \right\} \leq 2\|f\|_{\varphi, B}. \quad (2.1)$$

The Young function that we are going to be using is $\Phi_\alpha(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)^\alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$) with its complementary Young function $\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha(t) \approx \exp(t^{1/\alpha})$. Denote by $\|f\|_{L(\log L)^\alpha, B} = \|f\|_{\Phi_\alpha, B}$ and $\|f\|_{\exp L^{1/\alpha}, B} = \|f\|_{\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha, B}$. When $\alpha = 1$, we simply denote by $\Phi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}(t) \approx e^t$, and by $\|f\|_{L \log L, B} = \|f\|_{\Phi, B}$ and $\|f\|_{\exp L, B} = \|f\|_{\tilde{\Phi}, B}$. By the generalized Hölder's inequality (see [12]), we have

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)g(y)| dy \leq 2\|f\|_{L(\log L)^\alpha, B} \|g\|_{\exp L^{1/\alpha}, B}. \quad (2.2)$$

As usual, for a locally integrable function f and a ball B , we denote $f_B = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(y) dy$. Let $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for any ball B and integer $k \geq 0$, there has (see [2], p.141)

$$|b_{2^{k+1}B} - b_B| \leq C(k+1)\|b\|_*, \quad (2.3)$$

where ℓB denotes the ℓ -times concentric expansion of B and $\|b\|_*$ denotes the BMO norm of b .

By the John-Nirenberg's inequality, it is not difficult to see that (c.f. [13], p.169)

$$\|b - b_B\|_{\exp L, B} \leq C\|b\|_*. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $M_{L(\log L)^\alpha}(f)(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \|f\|_{L(\log L)^\alpha, B}$. Denote by M the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and M^k the k -times iterations of M , then $M_{L(\log L)^k} \approx M^{k+1}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We also need the following estimates in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.4. ^[5] Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\omega^p \in A_1$ and B be a ball. Then for any $y \in B$ and any positive integer m , there has

$$\left(\frac{1}{|2^k B|} \int_{2^k B} |b(x) - b_B|^{mp} \omega^p(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C\|b\|_*^m (k+1)^m \inf_{y \in B} \omega(y), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\omega^p \in A_1$ and B be a ball. Then for any $y \in B$ and any positive integer m , there has

$$\left(\frac{1}{|2^k B|} \int_{2^k B} \omega^p(x) \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_B|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C\|\vec{b}\|_* (k+1)^m \inf_{y \in B} \omega(y), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. By the Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{|2^k B|} \int_{2^k B} \omega^p(x) \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_B|^p dx \right)^{1/p} &\leq \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|2^k B|} \int_{2^k B} \omega^p(x) |b_j(x) - (b_j)_B|^{p\gamma_j} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p\gamma_j}} \\ &\leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\|b_j\|_*^{\gamma_j} (k+1)^{\gamma_j} \inf_{y \in B} \omega(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_j}} \\ &\leq C\|\vec{b}\|_* (k+1)^m \inf_{y \in B} \omega(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $1 = \frac{1}{\gamma_1} + \frac{1}{\gamma_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\gamma_m}$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5. \square

We also need the following notations. For $\omega \in A_\infty$ and a ball B , denote by

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L)^m, B, \omega} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{\omega(B)} \int_B \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \leq 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\exp L^{1/m}, B, \omega} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{\omega(B)} \int_B \tilde{\Phi}_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Similar to (2.1), we have (c.f. [10], p.69)

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L)^m, B, \omega} \approx \inf \left\{ \eta + \frac{\eta}{\omega(B)} \int_B \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\eta} \right) \omega(y) dy \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.2), there also holds the following generalized Hölder's inequality

$$\frac{1}{\omega(B)} \int_B |f_1(y) \cdots f_m(y) g(y)| \omega(y) dy \leq C \|g\|_{L(\log L)^m, B, \omega} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{\exp L, B, \omega}. \quad (2.6)$$

Furthermore, for any $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, any ball B and any $\omega \in A_\infty$, there has

$$\|b - b_B\|_{\exp L, B, \omega} \leq C \|b\|_*, \quad (2.7)$$

Indeed, by John-Nirenberg's inequality, there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 , such that

$$|\{x \in B : |b(x) - b_B| > t\}| \leq C_1 |B| e^{-C_2 t / \|b\|_*}.$$

Noting that $\omega \in A_\infty$, from the proof of Theorem 5 in [14], there is a $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\omega(\{x \in B : |b(x) - b_B| > t\}) \leq C_1 \omega(B) e^{-C_2 \delta t / \|b\|_*}.$$

Similar to the proof of Corollary 7.1.7 in [3] (p.528), we have

$$\frac{1}{\omega(B)} \int_B \exp \left(\frac{|b(x) - b_B|}{C_3 \|b\|_*} \right) \omega(x) dx \leq C, \quad (2.8)$$

which implies (2.7).

3 Proof of Theorem1.1

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that for $j = 1, \dots, m$, $\|b_j\|_{\text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_j}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. In fact, let

$$\tilde{b}_j = \frac{b_j}{\|b_j\|_{\text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_j}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. The homogeneity tells us that for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mu_{\Omega, \tilde{b}}(f)(x) > \lambda\}) = \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mu_{\Omega, \tilde{b}}(f)(x) > \lambda / \|\tilde{b}\|_{\text{Osc}_{\exp L^\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\}) \quad (3.1)$$

Noting that $\|\tilde{b}_j\|_{\text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_j}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, if when $\|b_j\|_{\text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_j}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$), the theorem is true. , by (3.1) and the inequality

$$\Phi_s(t_1 t_2) \leq C \Phi_s(t_1) \Phi_s(t_2)$$

for any $s > 0, t_1, t_2 \geq 0$, we easily obtain that the theorem still holds for any $b_j \in \text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_j}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$).

For a fixed λ , we consider the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of f at height λ and get a sequence of balls $\{B_i\}$, where B_i is a ball centered at x_i with radius r_i , such that $|f(x)| \leq C\lambda$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_i B_i$ and

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{|B_i|} \int_{B_i} |f(y)| dy \leq 2^n \lambda. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, there is an integer $N \geq 1$, independent of f and λ , such that for every point in \mathbb{R}^n belongs to at most N balls in $\{B_i\}$.

We decompose $f = g + h$, where

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_i B_i, \\ f_{B_i}, & x \in B_i. \end{cases}$$

Then $h(x) = f(x) - g(x) = \sum_i h_i(x)$ with $h_i(x) = (f(x) - f_{B_i})\chi_{B_i}(x)$. Obviously, $\text{supp } h_i \subset B_i$, $\int_{B_i} h_i(y)dy = 0$ and

$$|g(x)| \leq 2^n \lambda, \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.3)$$

Noting that if $\omega^{r'} \in A_1$ then $\omega \in A_1$, and then $M(\omega)(x) \leq C\omega(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By (3.2) and the fact that $|B_i|^{-1}\omega(B_i) = |B_i|^{-1} \int_{B_i} \omega(x)dx \leq C \inf_{y \in B_i} \omega(y)$, we have

$$\omega(B_i) \leq C|B_i| \inf_{y \in B_i} \omega(y) \leq C\lambda^{-1} \int_{B_i} |f(y)|dy \inf_{y \in B_i} \omega(y) \leq C\lambda^{-1} \int_{B_i} |f(y)|\omega(y)dy. \quad (3.4)$$

Denote by $E = \cup_i(4B_i)$, it follows from (3.4) that

$$\omega(E) \leq C \sum_i \int_{B_i} \omega(x)dx = C \sum_i \omega(B_i) \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}(f)(x) > \lambda\}) &\leq \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}(f)(x) > \lambda\}) + \omega(E) \\ &\leq \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}(g)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) + \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}(h)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) + \omega(E) \\ &\leq I_1 + I_2 + C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider I_1 first. For $\omega^{r'} \in A_1$ there has $\omega \in A_1$. Noting that $A_1 \subset A_s$ ($s \geq 1$), then for any $p > r'$, we have $\omega \in A_{p/r'}$. It follows from Lemma 2.3, (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C\lambda^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}(g)(x))^p \omega(x)dx \leq C\lambda^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|^p \omega(x)dx \leq C\lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|\omega(x)dx \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_i B_i} |g(x)|\omega(x)dx + \int_{\cup_i B_i} |g(x)|\omega(x)dx \right) \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|\omega(x)dx + \sum_i \int_{B_i} |f_{B_i}|\omega(x)dx \right) \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} \left(|B_i|^{-1} \int_{B_i} |f(y)|dy \right) \omega(x)dx \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} |f(y)|dy \left(|B_i|^{-1} \int_{B_i} \omega(x)dx \right) \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} |f(y)|dy \inf_{y \in B_i} \omega(y) \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} |f(y)|\omega(y)dy \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We remark that the proof of (3.5) implies the following fact, which will be used later.

$$\sum_i \int_{B_i} |f_{B_i}|\omega(x)dx \leq C\|f\|_{L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.6)$$

Now, let us estimate I_2 . By the definition of μ_Ω and $\mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}$, with the aid of the formula

$$\prod_{j=1}^m (b_j(x) - b_j(y)) = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} (b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma (b_{B_i} - b(y))_{\sigma'},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\Omega, \vec{b}}(h)(x) &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)h(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} (b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma (b_{B_i} - b(y))_{\sigma'} dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)h(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^m (b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)h(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} (b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma (b_{B_i} - b(y))_{\sigma'} dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)h(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^m ((b_j)_{B_i} - b_j(y)) dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_i \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \mu_\Omega(h_i)(x) + \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} |(b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma| \mu_\Omega(h_i(b_{B_i} - b)_{\sigma'})(x) \\ &\quad + \mu_\Omega(\sum_i h_i \prod_{j=1}^m ((b_j)_{B_i} - b_j))(x). \end{aligned}$$

So, we can write I_2 as

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \sum_i \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \mu_\Omega(h_i)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{6} \right\} \right) \\ &\quad + \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} |(b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma| \mu_\Omega(h_i(b_{B_i} - b)_{\sigma'})(x) > \frac{\lambda}{6} \right\} \right) \\ &\quad + \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \mu_\Omega(\sum_i h_i \prod_{j=1}^m ((b_j)_{B_i} - b_j))(x) > \frac{\lambda}{6} \right\} \right) \\ &= I_{21} + I_{22} + I_{23}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

For I_{21} , using chebychev's inequality and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} &= \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \sum_i \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \mu_\Omega(h_i)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{6} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \mu_\Omega(h_i)(x) \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \left(\int_0^{|x-x_i|+2r_i} \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)h_i(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega(x) dx \\ &\quad + C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \left(\int_{|x-x_i|+2r_i}^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)h_i(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega(x) dx \\ &= I_{211} + I_{212}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i$ and $y \in B_i$, there has $|x-y| \leq |x-x_i| + r_i$ and $|x-y| \sim |x-x_i| \sim |x-x_i| + 2r_i$, and then

$$\int_{|x-y|}^{|x-x_i|+2r_i} \frac{dt}{t^3} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^2} - \frac{1}{(|x-x_i| + 2r_i)^2} \right) \leq \frac{Cr_i}{|x-y|^3}.$$

Noting that $\text{supp } h_i \subset B_i$, it follows from the Minkowski's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{211} &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \left(\int_{B_i} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)||h_i(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \left(\int_{|x-y|}^{|x-x_i|+2r_i} \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \right) \omega(x) dx \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i r_i^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \left(\int_{B_i} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)||h_i(y)|}{|x-y|^{n+1/2}} dy \right) \omega(x) dx \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i r_i^{1/2} \int_{B_i} |h_i(y)| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B_i \setminus 2^k B_i} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|}{|x-y|^{n+1/2}} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \omega(x) dx \right) dy \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i r_i^{1/2} \int_{B_i} |h_i(y)| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(\int_{2^{k+1}B_i \setminus 2^k B_i} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|^r}{|x-y|^{n+1/2}} dx \right)^{1/r} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B_i \setminus 2^k B_i} \frac{\omega^{r'}(x)}{|x-y|^{n+1/2}} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}|^{r'} dx \right)^{1/r'} \right) dy
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Noting that $2^{k-1}r_i \leq |x-y| \leq 2^{k+2}r_i$ whenever $y \in B_i$ and $x \in 2^{k+1}B_i \setminus 2^k B_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{2^{k+1}B_i \setminus 2^k B_i} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|^r}{|x-y|^{n+1/2}} dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \left(\int_{2^{k-1}r_i \leq |x-y| \leq 2^{k+2}r_i} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|^r}{|x-y|^{n+1/2}} dx \right)^{1/r} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{2^{k-1}r_i}^{2^{k+2}r_i} \rho^{n-1} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{|\Omega(x')|^r}{\rho^{n+1/2}} dx' \right) d\rho \right)^{1/r} \leq C(2^k r_i)^{-\frac{1}{2r}} \|\Omega\|_{L^r(S^{n-1})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

And noting that $\omega^{r'} \in A_1$ and $\|b_j\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C\|b_j\|_{\text{Osc}_{\exp L^{\tau_j}}}$ for $\tau_j \geq 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), by the Hölder's inequality, Minkowski's inequality, the properties of $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{2^{k+1}B_i \setminus 2^k B_i} \frac{\omega^{r'}(x)}{|x-y|^{n+1/2}} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}|^{r'} dx \right)^{1/r'} \\
&\leq C(2^{k+1}r_i)^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})/r'} \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B_i} \omega^{r'}(x) \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}|^{r'} dx \right)^{1/r'} \\
&\leq C(2^{k+1}r_i)^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})/r'} \left(\frac{|2^{k+1}B_i|}{|2^{k+1}B_i|} \int_{2^{k+1}B_i} \omega^{r'}(x) \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}|^{r'} dx \right)^{1/r'} \\
&\leq C(2^k r_i)^{-\frac{1}{2r'}} (k+1)^m \inf_{y \in B_i} \omega(y).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

This, together with (3.9) and (3.10), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{211} &\leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^r(S^{n-1})} \lambda^{-1} \sum_i r_i^{1/2} \int_{B_i} |h_i(y)| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)^m (2^k r_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \omega(y) dy \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} |h_i(y)| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)^m 2^{-k/2} \right) \omega(y) dy \leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} |h_i(y)| \omega(y) dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Next, let us consider I_{212} . Write $K(x, y, x_i) = \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} - \frac{\Omega(x-x_i)}{|x-x_i|^{n-1}}$ for simplicity. Noting that for any $y \in B_i$, any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i$ and t with $|x-x_i| + 2r_i \leq t$, there has $|x-y| \leq |x-x_i| + r_i < t$, then by the

cancellation condition of h_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{212} &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \left(\int_{B_i} |K(x, y, x_i)| |h_i(y)| \left(\int_{|x-x_i|+2r_i}^\infty \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \right) \omega(x) dx \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \left(\int_{B_i} \frac{|K(x, y, x_i)| |h_i(y)|}{|x-x_i|} dy \right) \omega(x) dx \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} |h_i(y)| \sum_{k=1}^\infty (2^k r_i)^{-1} \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B_i \setminus 2^k B_i} |K(x, y, x_i)| \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \omega(x) dx \right) dy
\end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, there has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{2^{k+1}B_i \setminus 2^k B_i} |K(x, y, x_i)| \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}| \omega(x) dx \\
&\leq \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B_i \setminus 2^k B_i} |K(x, y, x_i)|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B_i \setminus 2^k B_i} \prod_{j=1}^m |b_j(x) - (b_j)_{B_i}|^{r'} \omega^{r'}(x) dx \right)^{1/r'} \\
&\leq C(k+1)^m 2^k r_i \left(2^{-k} + \int_{\frac{|y-x_i|}{2^{k+1}r_i}}^{\frac{|y-x_i|}{2^k r_i}} \frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta \right) \inf_{y \in B_i} \omega(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{212} &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} |h_i(y)| \omega(y) \sum_{k=1}^\infty (k+1)^m \left(2^{-k} + \int_{\frac{|y-x_i|}{2^{k+1}r_i}}^{\frac{|y-x_i|}{2^k r_i}} \frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta \right) dy \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} |h_i(y)| \omega(y) \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (k+1)^m 2^{-k} + \int_0^1 \frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta} \left(\log \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^m d\delta \right) dy \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} |h_i(y)| \omega(y) dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Note that $h_i(y) = f(y) + f_{B_i}$ when $y \in B_i$, it follows from (3.6), (3.8), (3.12) and (3.13) that

$$I_{21} \leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} |h_i(y)| \omega(y) dy \leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \int_{B_i} (|f(y)| + |f_{B_i}|) \omega(y) dy \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To estimate I_{23} , noting that $\Omega \in L^r(S^{n-1})$ for some $r > 1$ and $\omega^{r'} \in A_1$, using Lemma 2.2, (2.6), (2.7), Lemma 2.5, (2.5) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{23} &\leq \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mu_\Omega \left(\sum_i h_i \prod_{j=1}^m ((b_j)_{B_i} - b_j) \right)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{6} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_i |h_i(x)| \omega(x) \prod_{j=1}^m |(b_j)_{B_i} - b_j(x)| dx \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \left(\int_{B_i} |f(x)| \omega(x) \prod_{j=1}^m |(b_j)_{B_i} - b_j(x)| dx + \int_{B_i} |f_{B_i}| \omega(x) \prod_{j=1}^m |(b_j)_{B_i} - b_j(x)| dx \right) \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \omega(B_i) \|f\|_{L(\log L)^m, B_i, \omega} \prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j - (b_j)_{B_i}\|_{\exp L, B_i, \omega} \\
&\quad + C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \frac{1}{|B_i|} \int_{B_i} |f(y)| dy \int_{B_i} \omega(x) \prod_{j=1}^m |(b_j)_{B_i} - b_j(x)| dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \left(\omega(B_i) \|f\|_{L(\log L)^m, B_i, \omega} + \int_{B_i} |f(y)| dy \inf_{y \in B_i} \omega(y) \right) \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \left(\omega(B_i) \inf \left\{ \lambda + \frac{\lambda}{\omega(B_i)} \int_{B_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \right\} + \int_{B_i} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \right) \\
&\leq C \sum_i \left(\omega(B_i) + \int_{B_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \right) + C\lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \\
&\leq C \sum_i \left(\lambda^{-1} \int_{B_i} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy + \int_{B_i} \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right)^m \omega(y) dy \right) + C\lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right)^m \omega(y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us turn to estimate for I_{22} . Using the Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{22} &= \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \left| (b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma \right| \mu_\Omega(h_i(b_{B_i} - b)_\sigma)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{6} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \left| (b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma \right| \mu_\Omega(h_i(b_{B_i} - b)_\sigma)(x) \omega(x) dx \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \left| (b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma \right| \left(\int_0^{|x-x_i|+2r_i} \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y) h_i(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times (b_{B_i} - b(y))_{\sigma'} dy \left. \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega(x) dx \\
&\quad + C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \left| (b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma \right| \left(\int_{|x-x_i|+2r_i}^\infty \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y) h_i(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times (b_{B_i} - b(y))_{\sigma'} dy \left. \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega(x) dx = C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i (I_{221} + I_{222}).
\end{aligned}$$

For I_{221} and I_{222} , similar to the estimates for I_{21} and I_{23} , we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{221} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} r_i^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \left| (b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma \right| \left(\int_{B_i} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)| |h_i(y)|}{|x-y|^{n+1/2}} (b_{B_i} - b(y))_{\sigma'} dy \right) \omega(x) dx \\
&\leq C \left(\omega(B_i) \inf \left\{ \lambda + \frac{\lambda}{\omega(B_i)} \int_{B_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \right\} + \int_{B_i} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \right). \\
I_{222} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B_i} \left| (b(x) - b_{B_i})_\sigma \right| \left(\int_{B_i} \frac{|K(x, y, x_i)| |h_i(y)|}{|x-x_i|} (b_{B_i} - b(y))_{\sigma'} dy \right) \omega(x) dx \\
&\leq C \left(\omega(B_i) \inf \left\{ \lambda + \frac{\lambda}{\omega(B_i)} \int_{B_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \right\} + \int_{B_i} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{22} &\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_i \left(\omega(B_i) \inf \left\{ \lambda + \frac{\lambda}{\omega(B_i)} \int_{B_i} \Phi_m \left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) \omega(y) dy \right\} + \int_{B_i} |f(y)| \omega(y) dy \right) \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right)^m \omega(y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.7) and the above estimates for I_{21}, I_{22} and I_{23} , we have

$$I_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right)^m \omega(y) dy.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

References

- [1] Stein E M. On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1958, 88: 430-466.
- [2] Stein E M. *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals* 1993.
- [3] Grafakos L. *Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis*, Pearson Education, New Jersey, 2004.
- [4] Ding Y, Lu S Z, Zhang P. Weighted weak type estimates for commutators of the Marcinkiewicz integrals, *Science in China (Ser. A)*, 2004, 47(1): 83–95.
- [5] Zhang P. Weighted estimates for multilinear commutators of Marcinkiewicz integrals, *Acta Math. Sin.*, 2008, 24(8): 1387–1400.
- [6] Zhang P. Weighted endpoint estimates for commutators of Marcinkiewicz integrals, *Acta Math. Sin.*, 2010, 26(9): 1709–1722.
- [7] Pérez C, Trujillo-González R. Sharp weighted estimates for multilinear commutators, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 2002, 65(2): 672–692.
- [8] Ding Y, Lu S Z. Homogeneous fractional integrals on Hardy spaces, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 2000, 52: 153–162.
- [9] Fan D S, Sato S. Weak type (1,1) estimates for Marcinkiewicz integrals with rough kernels, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 2001, 53(2): 265–284.
- [10] Rao M M, Ren Z D. *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.
- [11] Pérez C, Pradolini G. Sharp weighted endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integrals, *Michigan Math. J.*, 2001, 49: 23–37.
- [12] O’Neil R. Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces, *Tran. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1963, 115(1): 300–328.
- [13] Pérez C. Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 1995, 128:163–185.
- [14] Muckenhoupt B, Wheeden R L. Weighted bounded mean oscillation and the Hilbert transform, *Studia Math.*, 1976, 54(3): 221–237.